

PIF

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

LAW OFFICES OF THE ALTERNATE DEFENDER
DAVID EPPS, # 160173
ALFONSO LOPEZ, # 203564
County of Santa Clara
701 Miller Street
San Jose, CA 95110
Telephone: (408) 299-7207

Attorneys for Defendant
Antolin Garcia Torres

FILED

2014 APR -8 P 3:41

David H. Yamasaki, Clerk of the Superior Court
County of Santa Clara, California

By: *[Signature]*

Mark McCoy

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, NO. 213515

Plaintiff, COURT ORDER
(Dress Out)

vs.

ANTOLIN GARCIA-TORRES,

Defendant

TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT, DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, AND SHERIFF FOR SANTA CLARA COUNTY:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Santa Clara County Sheriff Department and the Department of
Corrections allow Antolin Garcia Torres, PFN DZK109, to dress in court clothes provided by his
attorneys to the Main Jail Facility, and that he be allowed to shave, shower, and receive a haircut (24)
hours before all his court appearances.

Dated: 4-8-14



[Signature]

Honorable Judge Thang N. Barrett

1 LAW OFFICES OF THE ALTERNATE DEFENDER
2 DAVID EPPS, # 160173
3 ALFONSO LOPEZ, # 203564
4 County of Santa Clara
5 701 Miller Street
6 San Jose, CA 95110
7 Telephone: (408) 299-7207


8 Attorneys for Defendant
9 Antolin Garcia Torres

FILED

2014 APR -8 P 3:41

David H. Yamasaki, Clerk of the Superior Court
County of Santa Clara, California

By:



Mark McCoy

10
11 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

13 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, NO. 213515

14 Plaintiff, DECLARATION OF COUNSEL
15 (Client Dress out)

16 vs.

17 ANTOLIN GARCIA-TORRES,

18 Defendant

19 I, Alfonso O. Lopez, declare as follows:


- 20 1. I am an attorney at the Office of the Alternate Defender for the County of Santa Clara
21 and I am assigned to represent Mr. Garcia Torres in the above-entitled matter;
- 22 2. That this case is a high profile case where the media has been regularly publishing
23 photographs, video footage, and news articles regarding almost every court appearance in
24 this case;
- 25 3. That images of Mr. Garcia Torres have regularly been published in news print and
26 television news footage which can be seen at any time on the internet;
- 27 4. That the general public, including prospective jurors, will see images of Mr. Garcia
28 Torres while in court due to the high publicity of this case;
5. That Mr. Garcia Torres has State and Federal rights to a fair trial, due process, and equal
protection which will be violated when prospective jurors see him dressed in jail clothes

1 (Estelle v. Williams (1976) 425 U.S. 501, ; People v. Taylor (1982) 31 Cal.3d 488;
2 Chapman v. California (1967) 386 U.S. 18);

- 3 6. That even if the trial court orders prospective jurors not to view the news, it will be too
4 late as many, if not all, will have already seen images of Mr. Garcia Torres from the news
5 media;
6 7. That Honorable Judge Chatman has previously ordered Mr. Garcia Torres be dressed out
7 in court clothes and allowed to shave and shower for his past court appearances;
8 8. That the People have been sent a copy of this declaration and proposed court order;

9 I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

10 Executed on April 8, 2014, San Jose, California.

11 
12 _____
13 Alfonso O. Lopez
14 Deputy Alternate Defender