DISASTER PREPAREDNESS IN THE COUNTY: IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED

Summary

Disaster events that have occurred in Santa Clara County (County) have heightened interest in emergency preparedness. The umbrella organization for emergency services in the County is the Santa Clara County Office of Emergency Services (Emergency Services). This organization is augmented by the emergency services organizations of each of its fifteen cities.

The 2006-2007 Santa Clara County Civil Grand Jury (Grand Jury) interviewed the director of Emergency Services for the County and the directors of a sampling of five cities within the County. The organizations reviewed are the County, the City of San Jose, the City of Sunnyvale, the City of Santa Clara, the City of Morgan Hill, and the City of Gilroy. These interviews, and review of pertinent documents, focused on three areas of emergency preparedness: personnel callback ability, equipment availability, and seismic security of communications equipment.

The personnel callback ability refers to the ability of an agency to locate, contact, and advise employees of the type of emergency and to request their return to the workplace. Equipment availability refers to the availability of types of equipment lists, such as dozers, buses or water purification systems, knowledge of where the equipment is located, and knowledge of how to request and obtain the equipment. Seismic security of communications equipment refers to the presence of physical restraints to minimize damage to communications components during an earthquake.

The Grand Jury found a lack of consistency in the attention given to two of the three areas of focus by the agencies reviewed. The Grand Jury made recommendations to improve emergency preparedness in these areas of focus: personnel callback, and seismic security of communications equipment.

Discussion

The 1.7 million residents of the County are susceptible to significant emergency events. These events could include earthquakes, weather extremes, floods, transportation accidents, toxic substance spills, terrorist attacks, and utility interruptions. The city and county governments have the responsibility to prepare for these events. They also have the responsibility to provide for various populations within the County that have limited mobility in the event of an emergency, including the sick and injured, the elderly, and those lacking transportation.
Major areas of focus in this report are: (1) ability to call back personnel in the event of a major emergency, (2) equipment availability in the event of a major emergency, and (3) seismic security of communications equipment.

The Grand Jury reviewed the County and five of its fifteen cities to determine their preparedness in the three major areas of focus. It is the intent of this report that pertinent information be used by the other ten cities to evaluate their own emergency preparedness. Government entities and their Emergency Operations Centers reviewed were:

- City of Gilroy Emergency Operations Center
- City of Morgan Hill Emergency Operations Center
- City of San Jose Emergency Operations Center
- City of Santa Clara Emergency Operations Center
- City of Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety
- Santa Clara County Emergency Operations Center

Agencies whose staff members were interviewed can be found in the Interviews and Observations section of this report.

Documents secured from each agency include: (1) Emergency Operations Plan, (2) personnel callback listings, (3) equipment availability lists, and (4) documents related to seismic security of communications equipment. Not all the agencies reviewed had current listings.

Tours were made of all six of the emergency operations centers. The information gathered and the documents received are the bases for the Conclusions, Findings, and Recommendations below.

The standards to which the seismic security of communications equipment is measured are listed in the California Building Code, Chapter 16. Section 1632 of this Chapter refers to nonstructural components supported by structures.

Conclusions

Ability to Call Back Personnel for Emergencies

Not all government emergency operations centers surveyed have up-to-date, available information as to where their personnel live or how to contact them. Not all have a rapid means of summoning their workers in the event of an emergency.
Equipment Availability

All emergency operations centers have listings of equipment and how to acquire additional equipment from the local area. All emergency operations centers are aware of the procedures available to acquire needed equipment through mutual aid from surrounding jurisdictions or through the County. Mutual aid is the response to one agency’s request for needed services or supplies by another agency, either by another agency within the County or by one from outside the County.

Seismic Security of Communications Equipment

None of the sampled government emergency operations centers has been evaluated for the safety and survivability of their communications equipment during an earthquake. Few could even accurately define the applicable seismic standards to which their communications equipment installations were supposed to be measured. All of the communications equipment inspected had some means of securing it to the floor, a wall, and/or the ceiling. However, some equipment inspected had individual components that were unsecured.

General

All of the government entities reviewed had an Emergency Operations Plan, an Emergency Operations Center, and a designated Emergency Operations Coordinator or equivalent. In addition, all had thoroughly considered and clearly defined the potential emergency needs for their jurisdictions.
Findings

The following findings were reviewed with the subject agencies:

Ability to Call Back Personnel for an Emergency

F1 Emergency Operations Centers of San Jose, Santa Clara, Sunnyvale and the County have personnel availability listings that record where their personnel currently live. The City of Gilroy has records that are not current.

- The City of Gilroy has contact information. The information is not current, and callback is through a manual system. They also have a text-paging system that can be used when personnel can be reached by email.
- The City of Morgan Hill’s records are current. Callback is a manual system.
- The City of Santa Clara has current information, but it is a manual system relying on a phone tree system.
- The City of San Jose has printed cards with the information needed to manually call back their personnel. They maintain a list of “the line of succession” for key staff, along with all contact information for these employees. This list is updated on a regular basis. Some departments of the City have automated systems of callback.
- The City of Sunnyvale has an automated system that can call a large group of employees simultaneously. The system automatically distinguishes whom to call, based on the type of incident and the size of response required. Personnel are required to update contact information regularly, which they can do on the City’s website.
- The County has the necessary records. Their callback system is a manual system.

F2 The City of Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety and some departments of the City of San Jose have automated means of summoning their workers in the event of an emergency. However, the Emergency Operations Centers for the County and for the Cities of Gilroy, Morgan Hill, San Jose, and Santa Clara do not have automated means.

Equipment Availability

F3 The emergency operations centers of all the jurisdictions surveyed have listings of equipment within their control and are aware of the methods needed to obtain additional emergency equipment.
Seismic Security of Communications Equipment

F4 There was no consistency among the jurisdictions surveyed as to the codes to which their communications equipment was installed. In response to questions regarding seismic audits to ensure survivability, most of the jurisdictions identified some code(s) to which their equipment was installed. The Grand Jury cannot determine if the codes cited by the jurisdictions provide the equivalent level of protection for the communications equipment as specified in California Building Code Chapter 16, Section 1632.

- The City of Gilroy states that they comply with Section 1605.2 of Chapter 16, California Building Code. In addition, the City refers to Table 16K of the same chapter, which provides data on Occupancy Category/Essential Facilities, and Table 16S, which deals with Near Source Factor/Seismic Source Factor.

- The City of San Jose states that the City “does adhere to all State seismic retrofit requirements for buildings at the time of construction” and “newer facilities are designed and constructed to meet or exceed seismic safety standards as public safety facilities…” They did not specify the standards to which they comply.

- The City of Santa Clara states that they comply with Section 1632 of the California Building Code for seismic security of equipment. They further state that they use “industry standard” racks and mounting standards that secure the equipment to walls and/or ceilings. Internal audits and safety inspections of communications equipment are regularly conducted.

- The City of Sunnyvale states that their facilities conform to the Uniform Building Code, 1991, including the seismic requirements of Section 2312 for Earthquake Zone 4. They also state that they comply with the seismic requirements of SB 239, Chapter 1521. Contracts with their communications equipment suppliers reference the above codes, plus a lengthy list of other building codes, National Fire Protection Administration technical requirements, Occupational and Safety Administration standards, and other standards.

- The City of Morgan Hill states that their facility meets the California Building Code standards as an essential facility. They further state that the seismic requirements for essential facilities were incorporated in the facility during reconstruction.

- The County states that they know the standards and are in compliance.

F5 All of the emergency operations centers surveyed have an Emergency Operations Plan and a designated Emergency Operations Coordinator or equivalent.

F6 Five cities and the County were surveyed. There were ten cities within the County that were not surveyed, and the preparedness levels of these cities as related to the major areas of focus are unknown.
Recommendations

The 2006-2007 Grand Jury recommends that the agencies take the following actions:

R1    The Emergency Operations Center for the City of Gilroy needs to prepare availability lists showing the employees’ current residence. All emergency operations centers should develop a procedure for keeping their listings current.

R2    The Emergency Operations Centers for the Cities of Gilroy, Morgan Hill, San Jose, Santa Clara, and the County should consider developing automated or other rapid means of summoning their employees.

R3    No recommendation.

R4    The County and all of the surveyed cities should assure that they meet California Building Code Chapter 16, especially Section 1632 relating to the physical security of nonstructural equipment.

R5    No recommendation.

R6    The ten cities not reviewed in this report should examine their planning for disasters and determine if the above recommendations apply to them.
BIBLIOGRAPHY


Dillon, Tim. *Do We Have to Wait for Another National Emergency to Get Some of These Things Done?* USA Today. September 14, 2005.


Memorandum dated October 3, 2006, from Peter Kutras, Jr. to County of Santa Clara Board of Supervisors, *Reorganization of the Office of Emergency Services in the Office of the County Executive*.


**Emergency Plans**

City of Gilroy  
City of Morgan Hill  
City of San Jose  
City of Santa Clara  
City of Sunnyvale  
Santa Clara County

**INTERVIEWS AND OBSERVATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 4, 2006</td>
<td>Observed demonstration of the San Jose Police Department’s emergency equipment operational capability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 22, 2006</td>
<td>Interviewed Staff of Santa Clara Fire Department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 3, 2006</td>
<td>Interviewed Staff of the Santa Clara County Office of Emergency Services, and Staff of Telecommunications for Santa Clara County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 6, 2006</td>
<td>Interviewed Staff of the Sunnyvale Department of Public Service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 15, 2006</td>
<td>Observed the Golden Guardian Multi-Agency Exercise and Simulations at Moffett Field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 17, 2006</td>
<td>Interviewed Staff of City of San Jose Office of Emergency Services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 5, 2007</td>
<td>Interviewed Staff of Morgan Hill Police Department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 5, 2007</td>
<td>Interviewed Staff of the Gilroy Fire Department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 23, 2007</td>
<td>Interviewed Staff of Emergency Services Department, Gilroy Police Department.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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