WHY HAS AVEK ACTED CONTRARY TO THE LATEST METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT (MWD) REPORT RELEASED SEPTEMBER 10, 1970?

This Report CHANGED THE ENTIRE PICTURE of WATER SHORTAGES and asked for a CURTAILMENT OF THE STATE PROJECT water based on the following reasons:

1) BIRTH RATE DOWN;
2) MIGRATION RATE DOWN;
3) Rainfall and ground water recharge greater than anticipated;
4) Water use per person less than previously estimated;
5) Reclamation and re-use of waste water advancing at a greater rate than anticipated.

The principal conclusion of this Report is that WE HAVE ENOUGH WATER to meet our needs for a longer period of time than estimated previously—perhaps 20 TO 30 YEARS—and advises DEFERMENT OF MAJOR EXPENDITURES for several years to permit lower water rates and tax rates.

Moreover, we have had a TERRIFIC breakthrough in desalinization of sea water which will release the Owens Valley Water for use.

Now what is the MWD? It is the largest purveyor of water in the entire West. Its engineers are held in the highest esteem, and whose published opinions and decisions are rarely questioned by politicians or engineers, who act accordingly—but not AVEK—it acted contrary.

Considering that the County has wells that are 1,100 to 1,200 feet deep pumping from 250 to 275 feet, it is obvious that we have a gigantic surplus of water for both domestic and industry.

Another Department of Water Resources Report states that there are 10,000,000 acre feet of water between the surface of the ground and to a depth of 500 feet.

To prove that our water level HAS NOT BEEN DROPPING at such an alarming rate as AVEK claims, let's look at the record of several wells taken from the latest Report of the Los Angeles County Water District No. 4 dated September 1, 1969 which is available to anyone, at the local water office.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Obtained from IBM Plots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is obvious from the above that there is only a MINIMAL LOWERING of the ground water level in the Lancaster basin for the last five years.

AND LAST BUT NOT LEAST, Eddie Youngblood who served 20 years on the County Water District of which 8 years he was foreman informs us that the Lancaster water basin contains sufficient water for years to come, not only for Lancaster, but to supply dozens of communities that may need water.

GO VOTE "NO" FEBRUARY 16

NOW WHO ARE WE TO BELIEVE? Water engineers who are protecting their high salaried positions? AVEK officials with their vested interests? Paid Public Relation men? Bond salesmen getting big commissions? THEIR INSPIRED and misinformed followers who are screaming for this $49 million bond?

Shouldn't we believe Eddie Youngblood, an honest, hardworking Arkansan boy with no axe to grind who has worked with water most of his life, knows water, and loves to drink good water?

After this Report by MWD many State Water contractors have asked for a deferment of their State Supplemental Water.

For example, Upper Santa Clara Water District has stated "that they will have no NEED FOR SUPPLEMENTAL WATER WITHIN the next few years...and has decided at this time to DELAY THE CONSTRUCTION OF ITS LOCAL FACILITIES."


AND, EVEN IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY at least two Water Companies have asked or are intending to ask for a DEFERMENT OF THE STATE SUPPLEMENTAL WATER they have contracted for because they don't need it.

THEN WHY IS AVEK SPENDING THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS for sign boards, newspaper advertising, Public Relation men, to stampede the whole community into rushing to the polls to vote for the $49 million bond and $81 million in interest for a total of $130 million tax liability against our homes and businesses?

IT IS OUR OPINION THAT AVEK IS IN A RUSH TO PUT OVER THIS BOND BEFORE THE PEOPLE GET WIND OF THE MWD REPORT of September 10, 1970 showing a sufficiency of water for years to come.

OR COULD IT BE that AVEK is a giant public relief project for rich outside contractors, water engineers and Bond salesmen, all to be supported and paid for out of the
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AND, EVEN IN THE ANTELOPE
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IT IS OUR OPINION THAT AVEK
IS IN A RUSH TO PUT OVER THIS
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outside contractors, water engi-

neers and Bond salesmen, all to be
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AVEK bases its need of the $49
million bond on the FALSE PREMISE
that we ARE RUNNING OUT OF
DRINKING WATER even though
AVEK’s own revised December
1969 REPORT REVEALS that the “De-
partment of Water Resources es-

imates that there are 2,000,000
ACRE-FEET IN GROUNDWATER STOR-
AGE for each 100 feet of depth in
the Antelope Valley Basin.”

When you go to the polls Febru-
ary 16, keep one thing in mind,
FA_SEHOD WONT STAND EVEN
though SUPPORTED BY A THOUSAND
SIGNATURES, whereas TRUTH stands
alone.

Telephone your friends to read this ad—
Tell them to

GO VOTE

"NO"

FEB. 16th

By John N. England, President,
Community Government Research Corporation, Inc.
Antelope Valley 2 pdf 77